## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Burdent. Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.	20503.
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)  14-09-01  Final	3. DATES COVERED OI May 1998 — 30 Jun 2000
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  Effects of grazing by macro- and microzoo- plankton on transformations of colored dissolved  organic matter	56. GRANT NUMBER NOO014-98-1-0639
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S) Dobbs, Fred C. and Burdige, David J.	5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Desca)	5e. TASK NUMBER
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  Department of Ocean, Earth and Atmospheric Science  OLD Dominion University  Norfolk, UA 23529-0276	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER OID Dominion University Research Foundation Project #5 281711/281712
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
Office of Naval Personsh 800 No Quincy Street	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
Arligton, VA 22217-5000	
distribution unlimited	<u> </u>
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	20010724 020
of molecules defined as those that absorb ultraviolet and visible column biological processes hypothesized to affect both the "battemporal changes in CDOM. We performed a series of laborated transformations associated with micro- and macrozooplankton of highly controlled laboratory experiments involving cultured repretented time course of CDOM transformations using spectroscopic assignificant difference between experimental and control microcopological pool of the course of CDOM. Our series of experiments, therefore, stand in which grazing by macro- and microzooplankton was shown to series.	ckground" signature of CDOM as well as spatial and bry experiments designed to understand CDOM grazing on phytoplankton. Our approach was to use esentatives of grazers and prey, and to characterize and chemical techniques. There emerged no sems with respect to production and concentration of a contrast to those reported by Strom et al. (1997), in
DOC, DOM, CDOM, copeped, p)	y to plank ton
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:  17. LIMITATION OF  18. NUMBER OF  18. NUMBER OF	Fred Achbs
Unclossified Unclossified Unclossified UL 3	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (757) 683 - 5329

## FINAL REPORT

GRANT #: N00014-98-1-0639

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS: Fred C. Dobbs and David J. Burdige

<u>GRANT TITLE</u>: Effects of Grazing by Macro- and Microzooplankton on Transformations of Colored Dissolved Organic Matter

AWARD PERIOD: 1 May 1998 - 20 June 2000

OBJECTIVE: To understand water-column biological processes hypothesized to affect both the "background" signature of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) as well as spatial and temporal changes in CDOM.

APPROACH: Our approach was to use highly controlled laboratory experiments, principally involving cultured representatives of grazers and prey (Dobbs) and to characterize the time course of CDOM transformations using spectroscopic and chemical techniques (Burdige). The two spectroscopic techniques were optical absorption (UV/Vis) spectroscopy and fluorescence excitation-emission matrix spectroscopy (EEMS). We coupled these spectroscopic measurements with molecular weight size fractionation studies to relate changes in CDOM optical properties with diagenetic transformations of DOM in general.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Over the course of this funding, we conducted a total of fifteen large-scale experiments, in addition to multiple "pilot" studies. Experiments #1-11 attempted to examine the production and character of CDOM associated with the effects of macrozooplankton grazing and initially employed as model systems the estuarine copepod Acartia tonsa and the phytoplankton Skeletonema costatum and Isochrysis galbana. In our attempts to reduce the high variance and seemingly unpredictable results characteristic of our early experiments, we subsequently switched to using only Isochrysis galbana as prey.

Experiments #12-15 were parallel efforts, but ones in which we attempted to ascertain the effects of grazing by microzooplankton on CDOM production. Our model systems in this case were the mixotrophic dinoflagellate Oxyrhis marina (the predator) and Isochrysis galbana (the prey).

In both sets of experiments, the essential design was to compare DOC and CDOM production between experimental microcosms (with grazers) and control microcosms (without grazers). We followed the dynamics of predators and prey in

the microcosms (1 to 2 liters) over time courses ranging from 72 to nearly 400 hours. There was, however, difficulty in obtaining consistent results between experiments. There seemed to be a higher degree of unpredictability than we ever anticipated.

We can make the overall statement that we generally saw an increase in total dissolved organic carbon with time, as well as an increase in humic-like fluorescence, which we use as an indicator of CDOM production. We found no evidence, however, that grazing was a significant input to the production of DOC and CDOM, even in experiments in which we actively attempted to discriminate between microbial decay of organic matter and that produced directly by grazing. That is, there was no consistent significant difference between experimental and control microcosms in either the rate of DOC production and its concentration or CDOM production and its characteristics.

We presented our in-progress results at ONR-sponsored CDOM workshops in 1999 and again in 2000.

CONCLUSIONS: Although both macro- and microzooplankton grazer experiments yielded insights into the ecology of predation, there emerged no significant difference between experimental and control microcosms with respect to production and concentration of DOC and CDOM. Our experiments, therefore, stand in contrast to those reported by Strom et al., in which grazing by macro- and microzooplankton was shown to significantly increase DOC concentrations. (Strom, S.L., R. Benner, S. Ziegler, and M.J. Dagg. 1997. Planktonic grazers are a potentially important source of marine dissolved organic carbon. Limnol. Oceanogr. 42: 1364-1374.)

SIGNIFICANCE: Studies of CDOM's properties, formation, and degradation are a current focus of ONR, given the Navy's present and projected needs for information about water visibility in the littoral zone. However, the contrast between our results and studies by Strom et al. (1997) suggest that renewed efforts (and possibly new approaches) will be required to further our understanding of grazing-related production of DOC and CDOM.

PATENT INFORMATION: No patents applied for.

AWARD INFORMATION: No awards.

PUBLICATIONS AND ABSTRACTS: (for total period of grant): Burdige, D.J., S.W. Kline, L.S. Chasar, J.P. Chanton, P. Glaser and D.I. Siegel. 2000. Examination of dissolved organic matter (DOM) sources using fluorescence spectroscopy. EOS 80(49):OS21K-02. Presented at the 2000 AGU/ASLO Ocean Sciences Meeting. (A copy of the abstract is attached in the appendix.)

## APPENDIX:

Burdige, D.J., S.W. Kline, L.S. Chasar, J.P. Chanton, P. Glaser and D.I. Siegel. 2000. Examination of dissolved organic matter (DOM) sources using fluorescence spectroscopy. EOS 80(49):OS21K-02. Presented at the 2000

Examination of Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM) Sources Using Fluorescence Spectroscopy

David J. Burdige and Scott W. Kline, Dept. of Ocean, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Old Dominion Univ., Norfolk VA

L.S. Chasar and J.P. Chanton, Dept. Of Oceanography, Florida State University

P. Glaser and D.I. Siegel, Dept. of Geology, Syracuse University

Studies of DOM in natural waters using fluorescence excitation-emission matrix spectroscopy (EEMS) have observed different types of humic-like fluorescence, based on the unique excitation/emission wavelength maxima of peaks in these fluorescence spectra. Of the humic-like peaks observed to date (peaks A,C, and M), peak M is thought to have the strongest marinesource, while the other humic-like peaks have properties more similar to terrestrial humic substances. At the same time, however, it has also been suggested that diagenetic alteration of the fluorophore(s) responsible for peak M may lead to peak C fluorescence. further understand the sources of refractory DOM in naturals waters using EEMS, we have examined sediment pore waters from estuarine (Chesapeake Bay), continental margin and shallow water carbonate sediments, and pore waters from a freshwater northern Minnesota peatland. Pore waters from Chesapeake Bay sediments suggest that there is a diagenetic relationship between the fluorophores responsible for peak M and C fluorescence, based on downcore variations in excitation and emission wavelengths of all humic-like peaks (i.e., peaks M, C, and A). In contrast, pore waters from shallow water carbonate sediments show both peaks M and C fluorescence in the same pore water samples, suggesting that distinct fluorophores are responsible for each of these types of fluorescence. Finally, pore waters from a freshwater northern Minnesota peatland show evidence of peak M and C fluorescence, with peak M fluorescence observed in fen samples and peak C fluorescence in closed bog Possible explanations for all of these observations are that the fluorophores responsible for peaks M and C are always be present in natural waters, with the

relative concentrations of these fluorophores then affecting the occurrence of each type of fluorescence. For example, differences in organic matter sources and/or degradation pathways in different sedimentary environments may cause such real or apparent diagenetic changes in the composition of DOM humic-like fluorophores and thus explain these observations. These ideas will be explored in the context of previous suggestions discussed above regarding the sources of different types of humic-like fluorescence, and in terms of using EEMS to elucidate sources of refractory humic-like DOM.